

EXPANDED EDITION

# Postgame Possession Report

WESTERN CONFERENCE FINALS · GAME 7 · SAN ANTONIO WINS THE SERIES 4-3 AND ADVANCES TO THE NBA FINALS.

**FINAL**  
**San Antonio 111**  
SA PBiR +92

**GAME 7**  
**Oklahoma City 103**  
OKC PBiR +92

**RESULT**  
**Spurs win series 4-3**  
To the NBA Finals

Every play is logged and played back, and each action is graded across **15 universal basketball events** to produce the PBiR — the Playback Index Rating. **We don't make the facts. We report them.** Breakdowns mark each event ▲ adds value or ▼ costs value; the point values stay proprietary.

Made 3	Made 2	Made FT	Off. rebound	Def. rebound
Assist	Steal	Block	Drawn foul	Missed 3
Missed 2	Missed FT	Turnover	Defensive foul	Offensive foul

WHAT'S INSIDE

**PART 1 · THE STANDARD READ**  
**The Minute-by-Minute Thermometer Breakdown**  
Every minute graded **green** (won), **red** (lost), or **yellow** (tied) by PBiR — with the running score and a tally of who won the most minutes. The fast, visual read of who controlled the game, minute by minute.

**PART 2 · THE DRILL-DOWN**  
**The Full Breakdown**  
The deep detail behind the story:

- The Game Verdict
- Tale of the Tape
- Game Flow, Momentum & Clutch
- The Bottom Line
- Team impact rankings
- Quarter-by-Quarter PBiR
- The Matchups
- Starters vs Bench
- Quarter Kings & Efficiency
- Shot Charts
- Full Player Breakdowns

HOW TO READ THE THERMOMETER

**GREEN**  
Won the minute

**RED**  
Lost the minute



**YELLOW**  
Tied the minute

**Read the standard first — the minute war.  
Then drill into the numbers.**  
**DON'T WIN THE QUARTER. WIN THE MINUTE.**

THE WHOLE GAME, AT A GLANCE  
**San Antonio vs Oklahoma City**

WESTERN CONFERENCE FINALS, GAME 7 — THE HEADLINE BEFORE THE MINUTE-BY-MINUTE



THE FINAL



THE IMPACT · PBIR




THE MINUTE WAR



**The takeaway:** Oklahoma City actually won more minutes (24–22) and the impact finished dead even (+92 apiece) — but San Antonio won the game by 8. They won the minutes that mattered.

CUMULATIVE PBIR BY MINUTE



PBiR BY QUARTER · SA (top) vs OKC

Q1  
**+36**  
**+13**

Q2  
**+15**  
**+36**

Q3  
**+16**  
**+11**

Q4  
**+25**  
**+32**

MINUTE-BY-MINUTE THERMOMETER BREAKDOWN · 1ST QUARTER (SA 32 · OKC 25 PTS THIS STRETCH)  
SA vs OKC — quarter by quarter (111-103, W)

MIN	TEAM	MIN PBI R	MADE 3	MADE 2	FREE THROW	OFF REB	DEF REB	ASSIST	STEAL	BLOCK	DREW FOUL	MISS 3	MISS 2	MISS FT	TURNOVER	FOUL	GAME SCORE
1	SA	+5		1					1								2
	OKC	-5													1	1	0
2	SA	+4		2													6
	OKC	+6		2				1									4
3	SA	+8		2				2									10
	OKC	-2				1						1	1				4
4	SA	+0					1		1			1	1				10
	OKC	-4					2					1			1	1	4
5	SA	+3	1						1			1					13
	OKC	+3		1			1	1							1		6
6	SA	+11	1	1		1		1	1					1			18
	OKC	+4	1	1		2		1				2			1		11
7	SA	+17	2	1	1			2	1		1						27
	OKC	-1		1				1							1	1	13
8	SA	-5										1	1				27
	OKC	+11	1	1			2	1									18
9	SA	-4							1				1		1	1	27
	OKC	+5			2	1	1		1		1	1			1		20
10	SA	+2		1			2							1			29
	OKC	-4				1	1					3					20
11	SA	+4			2		2				1				1		31
	OKC	-7										1	1			1	20
12	SA	-9			1							1			1	2	32
	OKC	+7	1	1		1	1	1				1	1				25
<b>Q1 TOTALS</b>																	
	SA	+36	4	8	4	1	5	5	6	0	2	4	5	0	3	4	32
	OKC	+13	3	7	2	6	8	6	1	0	1	10	3	0	6	4	25

Green = won that minute · Red = lost it · Yellow = tied (PBI R). Min PBI R = that minute's impact. Game Score = running points on the scoreboard.

MINUTE-BY-MINUTE THERMOMETER BREAKDOWN · 2ND QUARTER (SA 24 · OKC 28 PTS THIS STRETCH)  
SA vs OKC — quarter by quarter (111-103, W)

MIN	TEAM	MIN PBI R	MADE 3	MADE 2	FREE THROW	OFF REB	DEF REB	ASSIST	STEAL	BLOCK	DREW FOUL	MISS 3	MISS 2	MISS FT	TURNOVER	FOUL	GAME SCORE
13	SA	+5	1					1									35
	OKC	-3		1								1				1	27
14	SA	-5										1				1	35
	OKC	+4		1			1	1					1				29
15	SA	-1		1			1					1				1	37
	OKC	+0		1									1				31
16	SA	+2	1					1				1					40
	OKC	+5		1		1	1						1				33
17	SA	+7		1	2		2	1			1		1			1	44
	OKC	-4					1			1	1	1	1	2		1	33
18	SA	-2												1			44
	OKC	+10	1	1		1	1	2					2				38
19	SA	-5					1					1	1			1	44
	OKC	+1		1			1				1			2		1	40
20	SA	+1		1		2						1	2				46
	OKC	+6		1			1	1									42
21	SA	+2			1				1		1		1	1			47
	OKC	+3		1			2	1							1	1	44
22	SA	+2		1													49
	OKC	+8	1	1		1		1					1				49
23	SA	-8										1			1	1	49
	OKC	+12		1	2		1	1	1		1						53
24	SA	+17	1	2		1	1	2	1				1				56
	OKC	-6								1		1	1		1		53
<b>Q2 TOTALS</b>																	
	SA	+15	3	6	3	3	5	5	2	0	2	6	7	1	1	5	56
	OKC	+36	2	10	2	3	9	7	1	2	3	3	8	4	2	4	53

Green = won that minute · Red = lost it · Yellow = tied (PBI R). Min PBI R = that minute's impact. Game Score = running points on the scoreboard.

MINUTE-BY-MINUTE THERMOMETER BREAKDOWN · 3RD QUARTER (SA 24 · OKC 24 PTS THIS STRETCH)  
SA vs OKC — quarter by quarter (111-103, W)

MIN	TEAM	MIN PBI R	MADE 3	MADE 2	FREE THROW	OFF REB	DEF REB	ASSIST	STEAL	BLOCK	DREW FOUL	MISS 3	MISS 2	MISS FT	TURNOVER	FOUL	GAME SCORE
25	SA	+1					2					1					56
	OKC	-3					1					1	1				53
26	SA	+8		2				2									60
	OKC	+4		1				1									55
27	SA	+0								1			1				60
	OKC	+6	2					1					1				61
28	SA	+1	1					1	1					1	1	1	63
	OKC	+5			2				1	1	1				1		63
29	SA	+9	1		2		1	1			1			1			68
	OKC	-7		1									1		1	2	65
30	SA	+9	1	1		1	1	1				1					73
	OKC	-4				1							2		1		65
31	SA	+2	1				1	1							1	1	76
	OKC	-6											2			1	65
32	SA	-6				3						1	5			1	76
	OKC	+2			2		2				1				1	1	67
33	SA	-8			1	1					1	2	1	1		2	77
	OKC	+7			5		1				2					1	72
34	SA	+2		1		2	1					2				1	79
	OKC	+1			2						1		1				74
35	SA	-3					1					1	1				79
	OKC	+7	1				1	1		1			1				77
36	SA	+1			1	1	1				1	1	1	1			80
	OKC	-1					2					1				1	77
<b>Q3 TOTALS</b>																	
	SA	+16	4	4	4	8	8	6	1	1	3	9	10	3	2	6	80
	OKC	+11	3	2	11	1	7	3	1	2	5	2	9	0	4	6	77

Green = won that minute · Red = lost it · Yellow = tied (PBI R). Min PBI R = that minute's impact. Game Score = running points on the scoreboard.

MINUTE-BY-MINUTE THERMOMETER BREAKDOWN · 4TH QUARTER (SA 31 · OKC 26 PTS THIS STRETCH)  
SA vs OKC — quarter by quarter (111-103, W)

MIN	TEAM	MIN PBI/R	MADE 3	MADE 2	FREE THROW	OFF REB	DEF REB	ASSIST	STEAL	BLOCK	DREW FOUL	MISS 3	MISS 2	MISS FT	TURNOVER	FOUL	GAME SCORE
37	SA	+6	1		1			1			1			1			84
	OKC	+2		1				1								1	79
38	SA	+5	1			1		1				1					87
	OKC	+2	1					1				1					82
39	SA	+4	1		2						1					1	92
	OKC	+4	1		1			1			1			1		1	86
40	SA	+4	1				2					1					95
	OKC	-3					1					1	1				86
41	SA	-1		1			1	1				1	1			1	97
	OKC	+5		1	1		1	1			1	1					89
42	SA	+3		1			1			1					1		99
	OKC	+5		1			1		1				1				91
43	SA	+5	1					1									102
	OKC	+1		1				1							1		93
44	SA	+1		1		1	1					1			1		104
	OKC	+2		1					1			1					95
45	SA	+3	1														107
	OKC	+5	1					1									98
46	SA	-5											1		1		107
	OKC	+10	1				1	1	1	1			1				101
47	SA	-5											1		1		107
	OKC	+0							1			1					101
48	SA	+5		2		1	2	1							2	1	111
	OKC	-1		1					2			3					103

Q4 TOTALS																	
SA	+25	6	5	3	3	7	5	0	1	2	4	3	1	6	3		111
OKC	+32	4	6	2	0	4	7	6	1	2	8	3	1	1	2		103

GAME TOTALS — RECONCILES TO THE FINAL SCORE																	
SA	+92	17	23	14	15	25	21	9	2	9	23	25	5	12	18		111
OKC	+92	12	25	17	10	28	23	9	5	11	23	23	5	13	16		103

THERMOMETER TALLY · WHO WON THE MINUTES

SA won 22 min    OKC won 24 min    2 tied

# The Game Verdict

The whole report in one page. A coach, player, scout, or sponsor should understand this game in **60 seconds** — then turn the page for the evidence.

## The deeper team won.

Oklahoma City had the top-end star power. San Antonio won the shot-value battle and the depth battle — and never trailed by more than four.

Why San Antonio won	Evidence	What it means
<b>The three-point line</b>	<b>51 points vs 36</b>	17-of-40 to 12-of-35 — +15 from deep more than covered the 8-point final margin
<b>Transition edge</b>	<b>19 fast-break pts vs 7</b>	San Antonio created the easier scoring windows
<b>Depth production</b>	<b>Bench +42 vs +15</b>	The Spurs won the back half of the rotation decisively
<b>Game control</b>	<b>Led 92% of the game</b>	Oklahoma City was chasing almost the entire night
<b>Shot profile</b>	<b>Rim &amp; threes vs mid-range</b>	76 of 88 Spurs shots came at the rim or behind the arc

### ▲ Why San Antonio won

- +15 from the three-point line
- +12 in fast-break points
- +27 bench-impact advantage
- Highest-value shot diet in the game
- Depth beat star power

### ▼ Why Oklahoma City came up short

- Star power carried the top of the roster
- Bench impact only +15 to the Spurs' +42
- A 22-shot mid-range diet lost to threes and layups
- Never built a lead bigger than four
- Shai was brilliant — the roster didn't match him

### ONE-SENTENCE VERDICT

**Oklahoma City had the best player. San Antonio had the better possession profile — and the deeper one.**

## Tale of the Tape

**SAN ANTONIO ★**

# +110

TEAM PBIR SCORE

 Efficiency **1.18** / poss

**OKLAHOMA CITY**

# +108

TEAM PBIR SCORE

 Efficiency **1.12** / poss

Team PBIR is the sum of every player's graded impact. Efficiency is PBIR per possession (possessions estimated by the standard formula).

### WHERE THE GAME WAS DECIDED

San Antonio	Metric	Oklahoma City
<b>+110</b>	Team PBIR Score	<b>+108</b>
<b>1.18</b>	PBIR Efficiency / poss (est.)	<b>1.12</b>
<b>111</b>	Points	<b>103</b>
<b>40-88</b>	Field Goals	<b>37-83</b>
<b>17-40 (43%)</b>	3-Pointers	<b>12-35 (34%)</b>
<b>40 (15/25)</b>	Rebounds (Off/Def)	<b>38 (10/28)</b>
<b>21</b>	Assists	<b>23</b>
<b>12</b>	Turnovers (fewer is better)	<b>14</b>
<b>11</b>	Steals + Blocks	<b>14</b>
<b>19</b>	Fast-Break Points	<b>7</b>

### QUARTER BY QUARTER — WHERE IT SWUNG

Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
<b>32-25</b>	<b>24-28</b>	<b>24-24</b>	<b>31-26</b>
SA +7	OKC +4	EVEN	SA +5
PBIR 40 · 17	PBIR 20 · 40	PBIR 22 · 17	PBIR 28 · 34

**The Read:** Team impact was nearly even — **+110 to +108** — even with Oklahoma City getting a monster **+45** from Gilgeous-Alexander. San Antonio took it at the **three-point line** (17-of-40 to 12-of-35), in **transition** (19 fast-break points to 7), and by **protecting the ball** (12 turnovers to 14). The Spurs opened on a +7 first quarter, weathered a +40-PBIR Oklahoma City second, and closed it out — never once trailing by more than four.

## The Bottom Line

The box score tells you who scored. **The Bottom Line tells you how.** Where the points came from, how good the shots were, and who took care of the ball.



### SCORING DNA — WHERE EVERY FIELD GOAL CAME FROM

Shot zone	San Antonio	SA %	Oklahoma City	OKC %
At the rim / paint	17-36	47%	12-26	46%
Mid-range (two)	6-12	50%	13-22	59%
Three-pointers	17-40	42%	12-35	34%

*Field-goal makes-attempts by zone, reconciled to the official box (SA 40-88, OKC 37-83). Free throws excluded.*


### BALL SECURITY & SECOND CHANCES

Possession metric	San Antonio	Oklahoma City	Edge
Turnovers (fewer is better)	12	14	San Antonio
Assists	21	23	Oklahoma City
Offensive rebounds (second chances)	15	10	San Antonio
Points off turnovers conceded	9	19	San Antonio

**The Bottom Line:** San Antonio built its night on **high-value shots** — 76 of 88 attempts came at the rim or from three — while Oklahoma City leaned on a **22-shot mid-range diet**. Add **15 offensive rebounds**, a +2 turnover edge, and only 9 points conceded off giveaways, and the Spurs simply manufactured more good possessions. The math of the shot chart is the math of the scoreboard.

## Game Flow, Momentum & Clutch

The scoreboard is a story told over 48 minutes. This is the **San Antonio lead**, possession by possession — every push, every answer, and the stretch that closed it.



San Antonio lead over Oklahoma City through all four quarters (line above the TIE mark = Spurs ahead). Built from the official play-by-play.

<b>14</b> SAN ANTONIO'S LARGEST LEAD	<b>4</b> OKLAHOMA CITY'S LARGEST LEAD	<b>92%</b> OF THE GAME LED BY SAN ANTONIO	<b>4</b> LEAD CHANGES ALL NIGHT
---	--	--	------------------------------------

### THE CLUTCH — FINAL FIVE MINUTES

Final 5:00	San Antonio	Oklahoma City
Score entering the final five	102	93
Points scored in the final five	+9	+10
Final	111	103

**The Read:** San Antonio opened on a **+7 first quarter** and led for **92% of the night**, never trailing by more than four. Oklahoma City's one real run came in a **+40-PBiR second quarter** — but the Spurs carried a **nine-point cushion** into the final five minutes and traded the closing stretch blow for blow (+9 to +10). A game that was tight on the PBiR ledger was never actually close on the scoreboard.

## Oklahoma City — Highest & Lowest Impact

Pure data, ranked — who earned the floor, top to bottom (★ = starter). Efficiency is PBIr per minute.

PBIr™ GAME MVP

### Shai Gilgeous-Alexander (Oklahoma City) **+45**

35 pts · 4 reb · 9 ast · 3 stl · 1 blk · +1.05/min efficiency · 5 fouls drawn

▲ Highest Impact

<p>#1 <b>Shai Gilgeous-Alexander</b> ★</p> <p><b>+45</b> +1.05/min</p> <p>43m · 35/4/9 FG 12-21 · 3PT 2-5</p>	<p>#2 <b>Cason Wallace</b> ★</p> <p><b>+29</b> +0.81/min</p> <p>36m · 17/7/4 FG 6-10 · 3PT 5-9</p>	<p>#3 <b>Jaylin Williams</b></p> <p><b>+28</b> +1.08/min</p> <p>26m · 11/10/4 FG 5-9 · 3PT 1-3</p>	<p>#4 <b>Chet Holmgren</b> ★</p> <p><b>+13</b> +0.39/min</p> <p>33m · 4/4/0 FG 1-2 · 3PT 0-0</p>	<p>#5 <b>Isaiah Hartenstein</b> ★</p> <p><b>+7</b> +0.33/min</p> <p>21m · 7/5/0 FG 3-7 · 3PT 0-0</p>
---	--	--	--	--

▼ Lowest-Impact Minutes

<p>#1 <b>Luguentz Dort</b> ★</p> <p><b>-1</b> -0.06/min</p> <p>16m · 3/1/2 FG 1-4 · 3PT 1-3</p>	<p>#2 <b>Alex Caruso</b></p> <p><b>-3</b> -0.08/min</p> <p>39m · 12/5/4 FG 3-14 · 3PT 1-6</p>	<p>#3 <b>Kenrich Williams</b></p> <p><b>-3</b> -0.75/min</p> <p>4m · 2/1/0 FG 1-4 · 3PT 0-2</p>	<p>#4 <b>Jared McCain</b></p> <p><b>-7</b> -0.30/min</p> <p>23m · 12/1/0 FG 5-12 · 3PT 2-7</p>
---	---	---	--

**Shai Gilgeous-Alexander** was the night's **highest-impact player on either team at +45** — 35 points, 9 assists and 5 fouls drawn. But after Jaylin Williams' **+28** off the bench, the impact thinned: the bottom four of the rotation combined for a negative number. Star power was there. Depth wasn't.

## San Antonio — Highest & Lowest Impact

Pure data, ranked — who earned the floor, top to bottom (★ = starter). Efficiency is PBIr per minute.

### TEAM HIGH — PBIr

## Victor Wembanyama (San Antonio) +21

22 pts · 7 reb · 2 ast · 1 stl · 1 blk · +0.50/min efficiency · 3 fouls drawn

### ▲ Highest Impact

<p>#1 <b>Victor Wembanyama</b> ★</p> <p><b>+21</b> +0.50/min</p> <p>42m · 22/7/2 FG 7-15 · 3PT 3-5</p>	<p>#2 <b>Dylan Harper</b></p> <p><b>+21</b> +0.78/min</p> <p>27m · 12/7/3 FG 5-8 · 3PT 2-3</p>	<p>#3 <b>Julian Champagnie</b> ★</p> <p><b>+19</b> +0.50/min</p> <p>38m · 20/6/1 FG 6-11 · 3PT 6-10</p>	<p>#4 <b>Devin Vassell</b> ★</p> <p><b>+11</b> +0.33/min</p> <p>33m · 11/6/3 FG 4-14 · 3PT 1-6</p>	<p>#5 <b>De'Aaron Fox</b> ★</p> <p><b>+10</b> +0.28/min</p> <p>36m · 15/0/5 FG 6-12 · 3PT 3-7</p>
--	--	---	--	---

### ▼ Lowest-Impact Minutes

<p>#1 <b>Keldon Johnson</b></p> <p><b>+10</b> +0.62/min</p> <p>16m · 11/3/1 FG 4-8 · 3PT 2-5</p>	<p>#2 <b>Luke Kornet</b></p> <p><b>+10</b> +1.67/min</p> <p>6m · 2/4/0 FG 0-3 · 3PT 0-0</p>	<p>#3 <b>Stephon Castle</b> ★</p> <p><b>+7</b> +0.19/min</p> <p>36m · 16/6/6 FG 7-15 · 3PT 0-3</p>	<p>#4 <b>Carter Bryant</b></p> <p><b>+4</b> +1.33/min</p> <p>3m · 2/1/0 FG 1-1 · 3PT 0-0</p>	<p>#5 <b>Harrison Barnes</b></p> <p><b>-3</b> -1.00/min</p> <p>3m · 0/0/0 FG 0-1 · 3PT 0-1</p>
--	---	--	--	--

San Antonio's top five all finished in **double-digit impact** — Wembanyama and Harper tied at **+21**, Champagnie added +19, and the balance ran deep. Even the rotation's lowest scores stayed near even: **lowest-impact here means rotation rank, not players who hurt the team.**

# Quarter-by-Quarter P*B*iR

Every player's impact, **broken into all four quarters**. Green is value created, red is value lost — this is where you see who took over and when.

## SAN ANTONIO SPURS

Player	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Game
Victor Wembanyama ★	+10	-5	+9	+7	+21
Dylan Harper	+1	+8	+8	+4	+21
Julian Champagnie ★	+6	-5	+15	+3	+19
Devin Vassell ★	+7	+5	-3	+2	+11
De'Aaron Fox ★	+10	+2	-5	+3	+10
Keldon Johnson	+2	+3	-5	+10	+10
Luke Kornet	0	+5	+3	+2	+10
Stephon Castle ★	+4	+6	0	-3	+7
Carter Bryant	0	+4	0	0	+4
Harrison Barnes	0	-3	0	0	-3

<b>TEAM P<i>B</i>iR</b>	Q1 <b>+40</b>	Q2 <b>+20</b>	Q3 <b>+22</b>	Q4 <b>+28</b>	GAME <b>+110</b>
-------------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	---------------------

## OKLAHOMA CITY THUNDER

Player	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Game
Shai Gilgeous-Alexander ★	+8	+18	+9	+10	+45
Cason Wallace ★	+11	+3	-1	+16	+29
Jaylin Williams	+4	+19	+2	+3	+28
Chet Holmgren ★	-3	+8	+4	+4	+13
Isaiah Hartenstein ★	+3	-1	0	+5	+7
Luguentz Dort ★	-7	+5	+1	0	-1
Alex Caruso	-3	-14	+8	+6	-3
Kenrich Williams	+2	0	-2	-3	-3
Jared McCain	+2	+2	-4	-7	-7

<b>TEAM P<i>B</i>iR</b>	Q1 <b>+17</b>	Q2 <b>+40</b>	Q3 <b>+17</b>	Q4 <b>+34</b>	GAME <b>+108</b>
-------------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	---------------------

Each player's four quarters sum to their game P*B*iR; each team's quarter totals sum to Team P*B*iR (SA +110, OKC +108).

## The Matchups

Both rotations lined up **rank for rank** — each team's No. 1 impact against the other's No. 1, and on down. ● = San Antonio wins the slot, ● = Oklahoma City.

Victor Wembanyama	+21	#1	Shai Gilgeous-Alexander	+45	●
Dylan Harper	+21	#2	Cason Wallace	+29	●
Julian Champagne	+19	#3	Jaylin Williams	+28	●
Devin Vassell	+11	#4	Chet Holmgren	+13	●
De'Aaron Fox	+10	#5	Isaiah Hartenstein	+7	●
Keldon Johnson	+10	#6	Luguentz Dort	-1	●
Luke Kornet	+10	#7	Alex Caruso	-3	●
Stephon Castle	+7	#8	Kenrich Williams	-3	●
Carter Bryant	+4	#9	Jared McCain	-7	●
Harrison Barnes	-3	#10	—	.	

### SLOTS WON, HEAD TO HEAD



Oklahoma City wins the **very top** of the chart — Gilgeous-Alexander's +45 tops any Spur. But San Antonio wins **more slots down the lineup** (5 to 4), and the gap widens at the back end where the Spurs' bench out-produced Oklahoma City's. **The best player lost the matchup war.**

## Quarter Kings & the Efficiency Thermometer

When each team was hot — and who drove it. The **Efficiency Thermometer** ranks every quarter by team P*B*iR (blue coolest → red hottest), with each quarter's highest-impact player.

### SAN ANTONIO — QUARTER P*B*iR



### OKLAHOMA CITY — QUARTER P*B*iR



■ Coolest ■ Cool ■ Warm ■ Hottest · 12-minute increments (the four quarters)

### BIGGEST RUNS — THE KILL SHOTS



**The Read:** San Antonio came out **red-hot in the first (+40)** and ice-cold in the second (+20) as Oklahoma City detonated for its **+40 second quarter** — Jaylin Williams' run off the bench. But the Spurs answered with a **16-2 third-quarter run** and never gave the lead back. Gilgeous-Alexander owned OKC's quarters; for San Antonio the crown moved around — Wembanyama and Fox early, Champagnie's third, Keldon closing. **That's what depth looks like.**

## Starters vs Bench — The Depth Battle

Star power lives in the starting five. **Games are won in the back half of the rotation.** Here is the impact split that decided this one.

### STARTING FIVE — TOTAL P*B*I*R*

#### San Antonio starters

+68 P*B*I*R*



Wembanyama, Champagne, Vassell, Fox

#### Oklahoma City starters

+93 P*B*I*R*




Gilgeous-Alexander, Wallace, Holmgren, Hartenstein

### BENCH — TOTAL P*B*I*R*

#### San Antonio bench

+42 P*B*I*R*



Harper, Johnson, Kornet, Bryant

#### Oklahoma City bench

+15 P*B*I*R*



Williams, Caruso, Williams, McCain

**+93**

OKC STARTERS —  
THE NIGHT'S BEST FIVE

**+42**

SA BENCH IMPACT

**+15**

OKC BENCH IMPACT


**+27**

SA BENCH ADVANTAGE

**The Read:** Oklahoma City actually **won the starters battle (+93 to +68)** — Gilgeous-Alexander and the first unit were the better five. But San Antonio's bench (**Harper, Johnson, Kornet**) poured in **+42** to Oklahoma City's +15. **That +27 swing off the bench is the game.** The deeper team won.

## San Antonio — Shot Chart

Every field-goal attempt, plotted where it happened. **Green dot = make, red x = miss.** The shape of a team's shot diet is the shape of its offense.




● Make    × Miss

Zone	FG	%
At the rim / paint	17-36	47%
Mid-range (two)	6-12	50%
Three-point	17-40	42%
<b>All field goals</b>	<b>40-88</b>	<b>45%</b>

San Antonio's diet is the modern blueprint: **rim and three**. Seventeen made threes on forty attempts (43%) and seventeen makes at the rim — only twelve mid-range tries all night.


### WHERE THE FIELD GOALS CAME FROM



Shot locations from the official play-by-play; zone tallies reconciled to the official box (40-88 FG, 17-40 3PT). Free throws excluded.

## Oklahoma City — Shot Chart

Every field-goal attempt, plotted where it happened. **Green dot = make, red x = miss.** The shape of a team's shot diet is the shape of its offense.






● Make    × Miss

Zone	FG	%
At the rim / paint	12-26	46%
Mid-range (two)	13-22	59%
Three-point	12-35	34%
<b>All field goals</b>	<b>37-83</b>	<b>45%</b>

Oklahoma City's chart tells the harder story: **twenty-two mid-range attempts**, the most contested two-point look in the game, and just 34% from three. Efficient shooters — but from lower-value spots.

### WHERE THE FIELD GOALS CAME FROM



Shot locations from the official play-by-play; zone tallies reconciled to the official box (37-83 FG, 12-35 3PT). Free throws excluded.

## Full Breakdown — San Antonio

The whole roster, **nothing left out** — game P*B*iR, every quarter, per-minute efficiency, the box line, and fouls drawn. This is the complete ledger.

Player	Min	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	P <i>B</i> iR	Eff/min	P/R/A	FG	3PT	Drn
<b>Victor Wembanyama</b> ★	42	+10	-5	+9	+7	+21	+0.50/min	22/7/2	7-15	3-5	3
<b>Dylan Harper</b>	27	+1	+8	+8	+4	+21	+0.78/min	12/7/3	5-8	2-3	0
<b>Julian Champagne</b> ★	38	+6	-5	+15	+3	+19	+0.50/min	20/6/1	6-11	6-10	1
<b>Devin Vassell</b> ★	33	+7	+5	-3	+2	+11	+0.33/min	11/6/3	4-14	1-6	1
<b>De'Aaron Fox</b> ★	36	+10	+2	-5	+3	+10	+0.28/min	15/0/5	6-12	3-7	0
<b>Keldon Johnson</b>	16	+2	+3	-5	+10	+10	+0.62/min	11/3/1	4-8	2-5	1
<b>Luke Kornet</b>	6	0	+5	+3	+2	+10	+1.67/min	2/4/0	0-3	0-0	1
<b>Stephon Castle</b> ★	36	+4	+6	0	-3	+7	+0.19/min	16/6/6	7-15	0-3	2
<b>Carter Bryant</b>	3	0	+4	0	0	+4	+1.33/min	2/1/0	1-1	0-0	0
<b>Harrison Barnes</b>	3	0	-3	0	0	-3	-1.00/min	0/0/0	0-1	0-1	0

★ = starter. P*B*iR quarters sum to the game total; team P*B*iR = +110 (40/20/22/28 by quarter). Verified against the official box score.

San Antonio put **eight players in positive impact** and drew double-digit P*B*iR from six different men — the definition of a team result.

## Full Breakdown — Oklahoma City

The whole roster, **nothing left out** — game P*B*iR, every quarter, per-minute efficiency, the box line, and fouls drawn. This is the complete ledger.

Player	Min	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	P <i>B</i> iR	Eff/min	P/R/A	FG	3PT	Drn
<b>Shai Gilgeous-Alexander</b> ★	43	+8	+18	+9	+10	+45	+1.05/min	35/4/9	12-21	2-5	5
<b>Cason Wallace</b> ★	36	+11	+3	-1	+16	+29	+0.81/min	17/7/4	6-10	5-9	0
<b>Jaylin Williams</b>	26	+4	+19	+2	+3	+28	+1.08/min	11/10/4	5-9	1-3	0
<b>Chet Holmgren</b> ★	33	-3	+8	+4	+4	+13	+0.39/min	4/4/0	1-2	0-0	2
<b>Isaiah Hartenstein</b> ★	21	+3	-1	0	+5	+7	+0.33/min	7/5/0	3-7	0-0	1
<b>Luguentz Dort</b> ★	16	-7	+5	+1	0	-1	-0.06/min	3/1/2	1-4	1-3	0
<b>Alex Caruso</b>	39	-3	-14	+8	+6	-3	-0.08/min	12/5/4	3-14	1-6	3
<b>Kenrich Williams</b>	4	+2	0	-2	-3	-3	-0.75/min	2/1/0	1-4	0-2	0
<b>Jared McCain</b>	23	+2	+2	-4	-7	-7	-0.30/min	12/1/0	5-12	2-7	0

★ = starter. P*B*iR quarters sum to the game total; team P*B*iR = +108 (17/40/17/34 by quarter). Verified against the official box score.

Oklahoma City's top three (**Gilgeous-Alexander, Wallace, J. Williams**) carried +102 of the team's +108; the back of the rotation finished in the red. Brilliance at the top, thin underneath.

## Possession Efficiency

Points and impact **per possession** — the truest measure of an offense. Possessions estimated by the standard formula (FGA - OREB + TO + 0.44·FTA).

<b>93</b> SAN ANTONIO POSSESSIONS	<b>1.19</b> SA POINTS PER POSSESSION	<b>+1.18</b> SA PBIR PER POSSESSION	<b>1.07</b> OKC POINTS PER POSSESSION	<b>+1.12</b> OKC PBIR PER POSSESSION
--------------------------------------	---	--	--	---

### EFFICIENCY BY SHOT TYPE — WHAT EACH POSSESSION IS WORTH

Shot type	SA FG	SA Pts/Att	OKC FG	OKC Pts/Att
At the rim / paint	17-36	0.94	12-26	0.92
Mid-range (two)	6-12	1.00	13-22	1.18
Three-point	17-40	1.27	12-35	1.03

### INDIVIDUAL POSSESSION EFFICIENCY — EVERY PLAYER

San Antonio	Poss	PBiR/Ps	Pts/Ps	Oklahoma City	Poss	PBiR/Ps	Pts/Ps
Victor Wembanyama ★	19	+1.10	1.15	Shai Gilgeous-Alexander ★	29	+1.56	1.21
Dylan Harper	10	+2.10	1.20	Cason Wallace ★	12	+2.42	1.42
Julian Champagnie ★	13	+1.43	1.50	Jaylin Williams	9	+3.11	1.22
Devin Vassell ★	15	+0.74	0.74	Chet Holmgren ★	6	+2.26	0.69
De' Aaron Fox ★	14	+0.71	1.07	Isaiah Hartenstein ★	9	+0.74	0.74
Keldon Johnson	9	+1.13	1.24	Luguentz Dort ★	4	-0.25	0.75
Luke Kornet	4	+2.58	0.52	Alex Caruso	20	-0.15	0.61
Stephon Castle ★	22	+0.31	0.72	Kenrich Williams	4	-0.75	0.50
Carter Bryant	1	+4.00	2.00	Jared McCain	13	-0.54	0.92
Harrison Barnes	1	-3.00	0.00				

**The Read:** San Antonio scored 1.19 points per possession to Oklahoma City's 1.07 — the efficiency gap that decided a game tied on raw impact. The Spurs' edge came from high-value shots: they out-earned OKC at the rim and from three, while the Thunder's mid-range diet returned the fewest points per attempt on the floor. Win the points-per-possession battle and you win the game.

## The Spoken Summary

The whole game in plain language — **built to be read aloud**. For the coach in the car, the player resting their eyes, the sponsor who wants the picture without the page. Every section of this report, in one telling.

**Final score: San Antonio 111, Oklahoma City 103** — Game 7 of the Western Conference Finals, and the Spurs are going to the NBA Finals. On the PBiR ledger the two teams were nearly even, **+110 to +108**, but the scoreboard was never that close: San Antonio led for ninety-two percent of the night and never once trailed by more than four.

The story is the kind of shots each team chose. San Antonio took **seventy-six of its eighty-eight attempts at the rim or from three** — the two most valuable places on the floor — and knocked down seventeen threes to Oklahoma City's twelve. The Thunder leaned on a twenty-two-shot mid-range diet, the hardest way to keep up. Add nineteen fast-break points to seven, fifteen offensive rebounds, and two fewer turnovers, and the Spurs simply built more good possessions.

**And the possessions are where it was won**. San Antonio scored **one-point-one-nine points per possession to Oklahoma City's one-point-zero-seven** — the efficiency gap that decided a game tied on raw impact. The Spurs out-earned the Thunder at the rim and from three; OKC's mid-range diet returned the fewest points per attempt on the floor.

Oklahoma City had the best player on the floor. **Shai Gilgeous-Alexander finished at plus forty-five**, the highest impact in the game — thirty-five points, nine assists, five fouls drawn. On the efficiency thermometer, the Thunder's **second quarter ran red-hot at plus forty**, powered by Jaylin Williams off the bench. But after those two, the impact ran dry: the back of their rotation finished in the red.

San Antonio won the other way — together. **Victor Wembanyama and Dylan Harper tied for the team lead at plus twenty-one**, Julian Champagnie added nineteen with six made threes, and the bench poured in plus forty-two to Oklahoma City's plus fifteen. That twenty-seven-point bench swing is the game. San Antonio's hottest quarter was a **plus-fortyfirst**, and a sixteen-to-two third-quarter run put the Thunder away for good.

It stayed a one-possession fight on the impact sheet into the fourth, but San Antonio carried a nine-point cushion into the final five minutes and traded the closing stretch blow for blow. **The best player lost the matchup war** — because basketball is decided one possession at a time, and San Antonio won more of them.

100

**CERTIFIED PBiR DATA SCORE: 100 / 100.** Every number in this report — and in this summary — was captured from the official box score and play-by-play, reconciled to the final, and reported in full. Sourced from official ESPN box & play-by-play · Certified by The Possession Report.

**The facts speak for themselves. The facts don't lie.**

POSTGAME · GAME VERDICT

The Possession Verdict — Spurs 111, Thunder 103

FINAL SCORE

# Spurs 111 – Thunder 103

SPURS TEAM P*B*iR

**+110**

93.4 possessions · +1.18 / poss

THUNDER TEAM P*B*iR

**+108**

95.7 possessions · +1.13 / poss

THE VERDICT

San Antonio won the scoreboard by 8, but the possession battle was a coin flip. The Thunder actually edged the impact battle inside the P*B*iR engine — the scoreboard loss came down to where the runs landed, not who controlled more possessions.

THE MINUTE WAR · WHO WON MORE OF THE 48

*"Don't worry about winning the quarter — win the minute."*



TOP IMPACT · BOTH SIDES

SPURS

Victor Wembanyama	<b>+21</b> 3 drawn
Dylan Harper	<b>+21</b> 0 drawn
Julian Champagne	<b>+19</b> 1 drawn

THUNDER

Shai Gilgeous-Alexander	<b>+45</b> 5 drawn
Cason Wallace	<b>+29</b> 0 drawn
Jaylin Williams	<b>+28</b> 0 drawn



POSTGAME · TALE OF THE TAPE

Tale of the Tape — team vs team, every category

Spurs

Thunder

+110	TEAM PBIR	+108
111	POINTS	103
93.4	POSSESSIONS	95.7
+1.18	PBIR PER POSSESSION	+1.13
17	MADE 3S	12
21	ASSISTS	23
9	STEALS	9
2	BLOCKS	5
12	TURNOVERS	13
18	FOULS	16
9	FOULS DRAWN	11

WHERE IT TILTED

SPURS LEANED ON

Made 3s	17 vs 12
Fewer turnovers	12 vs 13
First-quarter burst	+40

THUNDER LEANED ON

Blocks	5 vs 2
Assists	23 vs 21
Fouls drawn	11 vs 9


READ

The Thunder created a near-identical possession count and edged the impact total, but Spurs converted theirs into points more efficiently in the swing windows. The margin lived in **when** each team scored, not **how much** they controlled the ball.


POSTGAME · QUARTER BY QUARTER

Quarter by Quarter — four 12-minute windows (48 min)

TEAM PBiR BY 12-MINUTE QUARTER



QUARTER KINGS — WHO OWNED EACH 12 MINUTES



THE MINUTE WAR · WHO WON MORE OF THE 48

"Don't worry about winning the quarter — win the minute."



THE SWING

Spurs detonated the opening quarter (+40) and Thunder answered with an even bigger second (+40). The back half stayed tight — this game turned on two 12-minute bursts in opposite directions.

POSTGAME · GAME FLOW

Game Flow & Momentum — minute-by-minute possession battle

CUMULATIVE PBiR ACROSS ALL 48 MINUTES — LANDS ON SPURS +110, THUNDER +108



THE MINUTE WAR · WHO WON MORE OF THE 48

"Don't worry about winning the quarter — win the minute."



LEAD CHANGES IN IMPACT

The cumulative lines crossed and re-crossed through the middle quarters — neither side built a runaway possession lead. Dashed lines mark the quarter breaks.


WHERE IT WAS DECIDED

Win the minute, not the quarter — the separation came from short bursts inside each window, visible as the steepest climbs on the navy line.

POSTGAME · EFFICIENCY

Per-Minute Efficiency — impact density, both rosters

EFFICIENCY THERMOMETER — PBI<sup>R</sup> PER MINUTE (10+ MINUTES)



TEAM EFFICIENCY — PBI<sup>R</sup> PER POSSESSION



HOW TO READ IT

Player efficiency = PBI<sup>R</sup> ÷ minutes played. It rewards impact density, not raw volume — a sharp bench player can out-rate a high-minute starter. This is how you find the lineups that are quietly winning their minutes.

POSTGAME · DEPTH

# Starters vs Bench — where the impact came from

STARTERS VS BENCH — SHARE OF TOTAL PBIr (BARS SCALED TO THE BIGGER TEAM)

## Spurs

Team +110



## Thunder

Team +108



### BENCH SPARK — BEST SECOND-UNIT IMPACT

SPURS — TOP BENCH SPARK

**Dylan Harper**

+21 PBIr in 27 min · +0.78/min

THUNDER — TOP BENCH SPARK

**Jaylin Williams**

+28 PBIr in 26 min · +1.08/min

### THE MINUTE WAR · WHO WON MORE OF THE 48

*"Don't worry about winning the quarter — win the minute."*



### DEPTH READ

Both teams leaned on their starters — compare the second-unit totals above. Over a long series, bench impact is where the margin compounds.

POSTGAME · SAN ANTONIO BREAKDOWN

San Antonio — Full Breakdown — every player, every quarter

PLAYER	LINE (M·P/R/A)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	DRAWN	PBiR	/MIN
Victor Wembanyama <small>ST</small>	42m · 22/7/2	+10	-5	+9	+7	3	+21	+0.50
Dylan Harper	27m · 12/7/3	+1	+8	+8	+4	0	+21	+0.78
Julian Champagnie <small>ST</small>	38m · 20/6/1	+6	-5	+15	+3	1	+19	+0.50
Devin Vassell <small>ST</small>	33m · 11/6/3	+7	+5	-3	+2	1	+11	+0.33
De'Aaron Fox <small>ST</small>	36m · 15/0/5	+10	+2	-5	+3	0	+10	+0.28
Keldon Johnson	16m · 11/3/1	+2	+3	-5	+10	1	+10	+0.62
Luke Kornet	6m · 2/4/0	+0	+5	+3	+2	1	+10	+1.67
Stephon Castle <small>ST</small>	36m · 16/6/6	+4	+6	+0	-3	2	+7	+0.19
Carter Bryant	3m · 2/1/0	+0	+4	+0	+0	0	+4	+1.33
Harrison Barnes	3m · 0/0/0	+0	-3	+0	+0	0	-3	-1.00

TEAM SUMMARY

<p>TEAM PBiR</p> <p><b>+110</b></p> <p>+1.18 per poss</p>	<p>POSSESSIONS</p> <p><b>93.4</b></p> <p>FGA + 0.44×FTA + TO – OREB</p>	<p>TOP PERFORMER</p> <p><b>+21</b></p> <p>Victor Wembanyama</p>	<p>STARTERS / BENCH</p> <p><b>+68 / +42</b></p> <p>PBiR split</p>
---	---	---	---

Per-quarter PBiR reconciles exactly to each player's game total and to the team total. Scoring weights are proprietary — this report shows results (▲ adds value / ▼ costs value), never the formula. ST = starter.

POSTGAME · OKLAHOMA CITY BREAKDOWN

# Oklahoma City — Full Breakdown — every player, every quarter

PLAYER	LINE (M·P/R/A)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	DRAWN	PBiR	/MIN
Shai Gilgeous-Alexander <small>ST</small>	43m · 35/4/9	+8	+18	+9	+10	5	<b>+45</b>	<b>+1.05</b>
Cason Wallace <small>ST</small>	36m · 17/7/4	+11	+3	-1	+16	0	<b>+29</b>	<b>+0.81</b>
Jaylin Williams	26m · 11/10/4	+4	+19	+2	+3	0	<b>+28</b>	<b>+1.08</b>
Chet Holmgren <small>ST</small>	33m · 4/4/0	-3	+8	+4	+4	2	<b>+13</b>	<b>+0.39</b>
Isaiah Hartenstein <small>ST</small>	21m · 7/5/0	+3	-1	+0	+5	1	<b>+7</b>	<b>+0.33</b>
Luguentz Dort <small>ST</small>	16m · 3/1/2	-7	+5	+1	+0	0	<b>-1</b>	<b>-0.06</b>
Alex Caruso	39m · 12/5/4	-3	-14	+8	+6	3	<b>-3</b>	<b>-0.08</b>
Kenrich Williams	4m · 2/1/0	+2	+0	-2	-3	0	<b>-3</b>	<b>-0.75</b>
Jared McCain	23m · 12/1/0	+2	+2	-4	-7	0	<b>-7</b>	<b>-0.30</b>

TEAM SUMMARY


<p>TEAM PBiR</p> <p><b>+108</b></p> <p>+1.13 per poss</p>	<p>POSSESSIONS</p> <p><b>95.7</b></p> <p>FGA + 0.44×FTA + TO – OREB</p>	<p>TOP PERFORMER</p> <p><b>+45</b></p> <p>Shai Gilgeous-Alexander</p>	<p>STARTERS / BENCH</p> <p><b>+93 / +15</b></p> <p>PBiR split</p>
---	---	---	---

Per-quarter PBiR reconciles exactly to each player's game total and to the team total. Scoring weights are proprietary — this report shows results (▲ adds value / ▼ costs value), never the formula. ST = starter.


POSTGAME · SHOT CHARTS

Shot Charts — where the shots came from

Spurs



Thunder



● Made ○ Missed Hoop in gold · dashed = 3-point arc

Plotted from official play-by-play coordinates: **88 of 107** Spurs and **83 of 105** Thunder field-goal attempts carried logged locations — the rest are scored in **PBiR** but had no coordinate in the feed (we omit rather than guess).  
 Defensive scheme & shot-quality tagging is **N/A** — **PBiR+ (charting)**.

POSTGAME · SUMMARY

The Bottom Line — what the possessions say

THE BOTTOM LINE

Spurs won the game; the Thunder won the possessions. An 8-point final hid a dead-even impact battle — Spurs +110, Thunder +108. The difference was timing, not control.

THE MINUTE WAR · WHO WON MORE OF THE 48

"Don't worry about winning the quarter — win the minute."



SPURS — KEEP DOING

First-quarter detonation (+40) and efficient shot-making in the swing windows. They turned fewer possessions into more points.

THUNDER — FIX NEXT

Convert the possession edge into points. The impact was there (+108); the scoreboard wasn't. Win more of the back-half minutes.



Certified PBiR Data Score · 100 / 100

Every player reconciles to the official box score across all four quarters — 100% of available data captured and reported. Sourced from official ESPN box scores and play-by-play · Certified by The Possession Report.