

MIR Individual Report

A 4-Page Professional Detailed Report · Sample Profile

Marcus J. Williams


Combo Guard · Sample Senior · 2025-26 · Mid-Major D1 · Sample University

REPORT ID
MR-SAMPLE-CO

6'3" · 195 lbs

THE VERDICT

A high-major-caliber combo guard with elite scoring instincts, late-game composure, and rapidly improving floor general traits. Sample Williams' MIR profile maps to the Tier A band — a player who should be a clear scholarship target for power-conference programs seeking immediate offensive impact and proven clutch performance.



THE 8-COMPONENT SCORECARD

Position-adjusted and pace-adjusted against the national combo guard cohort.

#	COMPONENT	SCORE	PERCENTILE	PROFILE
01	Score	88	93th	High-major caliber · Top 15%
02	Impact	84	89th	High-major caliber · Top 15%
03	Rebound	72	77th	Solid rotation player · Top 35%
04	Defense	79	84th	Solid rotation player · Top 35%
05	Clutch	91	96th	Elite tier · Top 5% nationally
06	Tempo	86	91th	High-major caliber · Top 15%
07	Ball Security	81	86th	High-major caliber · Top 15%
08	Durability	83	88th	High-major caliber · Top 15%

Component Analysis

01 · SCORE — 88

Williams scores at an elite efficiency rate for a player at his usage level. eFG% sits in the 94th percentile nationally for combo guards with comparable usage. Shot selection grades exceptional — he's not just taking shots, he's taking the right shots. Free throw rate suggests willingness to attack the rim, but the volume is balanced with capable three-point range (39.4% on 4.8 attempts/game).

05 · CLUTCH — 91 (HIGHEST COMPONENT)

The most defining trait of this player profile. In the last 5 minutes of close games (within 5 points), Williams' usage rate spikes from 24.1% to 31.6%, and his eFG% rises rather than falls — an extremely rare profile. The Engine flags this as a star-trajectory indicator. The numbers say he wants the ball in those moments.

02 · IMPACT — 84

Strong secondary playmaking grade. Assist rate sits in the 81st percentile nationally for combo guards. Deflections per 40 minutes are high, suggesting active hands defensively even though his on-ball defensive grade is modest. The kind of player who creates extra possessions.

03 · REBOUND — 72 (LOWEST COMPONENT)

The weakest area of the profile. Defensive rebound rate at his position grades in the 38th percentile — surprising for a 6'3" guard with his physical profile. Worth investigating tape: is this scheme-driven (point guard responsibilities) or a habit issue?

07 · BALL SECURITY — 81

Assist-to-turnover ratio at 2.4 places him in the top 25% of combo guards. Turnover rate per 100 possessions is 14.2 — clean. Shot quality index suggests he's making good decisions about when to pull up vs. when to attack. The kind of player a coach trusts with the ball.

System Fit & Risk Profile

FIT NOTES

Williams fits best in a guard-heavy offense with secondary creation responsibilities — Big East, ACC, or SEC systems that allow lead guards to play both on and off the ball. His clutch profile suggests he'll thrive in late-game iso-heavy schemes. He may struggle in a strict pass-first point guard role if asked to suppress his scoring instincts.

RISK PROFILE

Two yellow flags worth deeper investigation: (1) defensive rebound rate is well below position average, and (2) on-ball defensive grade is the weakest component of an otherwise strong defensive package. Both addressable with a culture-driven program. Neither is a deal-breaker, but both are flagged for coaching staff awareness.

PROJECTED PER-40 PRODUCTION

Projected at a Power 5 program: 17.8 PPG · 4.6 RPG · 4.1 APG · 1.8 SPG. Conservative projection accounts for typical step-up adjustment in first-year transfer environments. Career arc strongly suggests improvement curve in Year 2.

MIR Tier Reference System

MIR RANGE	TIER	CLASSIFICATION
90–100	Tier S	Elite · Lottery-Projection Caliber
80–89	Tier A	High-Major · All-Conference Caliber
70–79	Tier B	Mid-Major Starter · High-Major Rotation
60–69	Tier C	Rotation Player
Below 60	Tier D	Developmental · Project Player

Coach's Call

"Williams is the kind of guard you build around in March. The clutch component score isn't something we see often — it's a top-decile late-game profile. Two areas to address with him: his defensive habits on the glass, and his on-ball defensive intensity. Both are coaching problems, not talent problems. If your program can fix those, you have a Tier S player by the end of his first season. If you can't, you still have a high-major rotation guard who wins you close games. The risk-reward here is heavily skewed toward reward. Recommend offer."

Methodology Notes

The MIR Score is a weighted composite of 8 position-adjusted, pace-adjusted components. Every data point traces to verified, publicly available statistical sources — official league box scores, conference data publications, and recognized advanced-analytics platforms. What's proprietary is the weighting, the position-adjustment formulas, the composite calculation, and the tier benchmarks.

Eight components: Score · Impact · Rebound · Defense · Clutch · Tempo · Ball Security · Durability. Each is graded 0–100 against the position-specific national cohort. The MIR composite score is then mapped to a Tier classification (S/A/B/C/D) using the published thresholds shown on Page 3.

The Engine is the proprietary scoring application that imports Raw Data and runs the 8-component methodology. Reports are generated within 72 hours of order receipt for individual reports. Bulk packages typically deliver in 5–7 business days.

This is a SAMPLE report. All player names, statistics, and verdicts are illustrative only. For a real MIR Report, contact info@themirrorreport.com or visit themirrorreport.com.