

MIR Team Report

Full Roster Self-Scout · 4-Page Professional Detailed Report

TEAM SELF-SCOUT

Sample University Bobcats

Mid-Major D1 · 2025-26 Season · Sample Conference

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Bobcats grade as a Tier B+ roster overall with one clear Tier A anchor (Williams), three solid Tier B contributors, and a developmental tail. The most pressing gap is at the 4-position, where the team's roster MIR average drops 14 points below the conference average. The 1- and 2-spots are roster strengths. Defensive rebounding is the team's lowest-grading component across all eight rotation players — a coaching priority.

Roster MIR Stack — Top 8

#	PLAYER	POS	CL	MIR	TIER
01	Williams, M.	G	Sr	87	Tier A
02	Carter, J.	G/F	Jr	79	Tier B
03	Brooks, D.	F	Sr	78	Tier B
04	Roberts, K.	F	So	74	Tier B
05	Henderson, T.	C	Sr	71	Tier B
06	Mitchell, A.	G	Jr	68	Tier C
07	Walsh, M.	F	Fr	63	Tier C
08	Patel, R.	C	Fr	58	Tier D

ROSTER AVERAGES

Team Composite MIR: 72.3 · Top 5 Average: 77.8 · Conference Average: 71.6 · Above conference avg by 0.7

Positional Heat Map

Where the Bobcats grade against position-adjusted conference baselines. Heat = MIR strength differential.

POSITION	TOP PLAYER	TEAM AVG	CONF AVG	DELTA	GRADE
Point Guard (1)	87 · Williams	78.5	72.0	+6.5	STRENGTH
Shooting Guard (2)	79 · Carter	74.0	71.5	+2.5	AVERAGE
Small Forward (3)	78 · Brooks	72.0	70.0	+2.0	AVERAGE
Power Forward (4)	74 · Roberts	61.5	75.5	-14.0	WEAKNESS
Center (5)	71 · Henderson	64.5	69.0	-4.5	BELOW AVG

POSITIONAL VERDICT

1- and 2-positions: Roster strengths. Williams is a high-major-caliber lead guard. Carter is a reliable Tier B contributor at the 2.

3-position: Functional. Brooks does the job. Not a target for upgrade.

4-position: **Primary gap.** Roberts is a developmental sophomore. The dropoff after him is significant. This is the recruiting priority.

5-position: Below average. Henderson is a senior — limited upside. Walsh and Patel are projects. Secondary recruiting priority.

SAMPLE
NOT A REAL EVALUATION

Component Gap Analysis

Where the team is strong, where it's weak, when averaging the 8-component scores across the top-8 rotation.

COMPONENT	TEAM AVG	CONF AVG	DELTA	PRIORITY
01 · Score	78	72	+6	—
02 · Impact	74	71	+3	—
03 · Rebound	62	74	-12	COACHING PRIORITY
04 · Defense	71	73	-2	—
05 · Clutch	76	70	+6	—
06 · Tempo	72	71	+1	—
07 · Ball Security	74	70	+4	—
08 · Durability	69	72	-3	MONITOR

REBOUNDING IS THE PROBLEM

Defensive rebound rate at the team level is 14 points below the conference average. Three rotation players grade below 60 in this component. This is a culture-and-scheme issue as much as a personnel issue. Address with practice emphasis before transfer portal moves.

SAMPLE
NOT A REAL EVALUATION

Recruiting Priority List

Priority 1: Tier B+ Power Forward. The 4-position gap is the most impactful single move you can make. Target profile: 6'7"+ wing-to-PF with strong Rebound (75+) and Defense (75+) components. Tier B+ MIR with high Rebound component.

Priority 2: Backup Rebounder (any frontcourt position). Walsh and Patel are not viable rotation contributors. Bring in a Tier C+ veteran frontcourt body to anchor second-unit rebounding.

Priority 3: Defensive Wing. Defense component grade for the wing rotation is essentially conference-average. Upgrading to a 80+ Defense wing could lift the team's defensive identity.

Coach's Call

"This is a good team with one clear gap and one clear culture issue. The gap is at the 4-position. The culture issue is defensive rebounding. Address the 4 with a portal target who grades Tier B+ with strong Rebound and Defense components, and you've fundamentally changed your conference position. Address the rebounding issue through coaching emphasis in practice — it doesn't require a recruiting solution. Do both, and this is an NCAA Tournament-caliber roster."

This is a **SAMPLE** report. All team and player names, statistics, and verdicts are illustrative only. For a real MIR Team Report, contact info@themirrorreport.com or visit themirrorreport.com.